

Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations  
with vanishing and decaying potentials

ANTONIO AMBROSETTI & Z.-Q. WANG

**Abstract.** We study the existence and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of  $-\epsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = K(x)u^p$ ,  $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $u > 0$ , when  $V$  can vanish and decay to zero at infinity.

# Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with vanishing and decaying potentials

A. Ambrosetti <sup>1</sup>, Z.-Q. Wang <sup>2</sup>

**Key words:** Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations, Singularly perturbed elliptic problems, Concentrations.

## Abstract

We study the existence and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1), when  $V$  can vanish and decay to zero at infinity.

## 1 Introduction and main results

This paper deals with Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations (NLS, for short) with potentials like

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(x)u = K(x)u^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), & u > 0. \end{cases}$$

We are motivated by the recent works [1, 3, 5, 6]. The two former papers deal with the case that  $V$  is positive and have an appropriate decay to zero at infinity. The two latter ones, with the case in which  $V$  vanishes at some set  $\mathcal{Z}$  (referred in [5] as the case of the critical frequency), but  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) > 0$ . The main purpose of the present Note is to show that, using some ideas of [1, 3] jointly with some arguments of [5, 6], it is possible to extend the results proved in the aforementioned papers to potentials  $V$  that can both vanish and decay to zero at infinity.

First of all, we will show that for  $\varepsilon$  small there exists a ground state solution of (1) (semiclassical state), so that the fact that  $V$  can vanish does not affect the existence results of [1, 3]. By a ground state we mean a solution which is a mountain pass critical point of the energy functional associated to (1).

Next, we study the concentration of these ground states as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , a phenomenon which is important for its implications in Quantum Mechanics. Loosely speaking, we say that a solution  $v_\varepsilon$  of (1) concentrates at a point  $x^*$  if  $v_\varepsilon$  tends to zero uniformly out of  $x^*$ . Concerning concentration, we show that the ground states

<sup>1</sup>S.I.S.S.A., 2-4 via Beirut, Trieste 34014, Italy. Supported by M.U.R.S.T within the PRIN 2004 "Variational methods and nonlinear differential equations".

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322, USA. Part of the work has been carried out during a visit at S.I.S.S.A.

concentrate on points of zero set of  $V$ . Moreover the behavior of the solutions near these points is similar to the case studied in [5], of  $V$  being positive away from zero at infinity. It is not affected by the fact that  $V$  decays to zero at infinity, but depends only on the local behaviors of  $V$  near the points of concentration where  $V$  is zero. However, the decay rates of the solutions at infinity do depend on the decay property of  $V$ .

We assume that  $V$  and  $K$  satisfy

(V)  $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ , and there exist  $R_0, k_1, \alpha > 0$  such that

$$V(x) \geq \frac{k_1}{1 + |x|^\alpha}, \quad |x| \geq R_0.$$

(K)  $K \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ , and there exist  $k_2, \beta > 0$  such that

$$0 < K(x) \leq \frac{k_2}{1 + |x|^\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let

$$(2) \quad \sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{n-2} - \frac{4\beta}{\alpha(n-2)}, & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \alpha \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) = 0\}.$$

Let us remark that (V) implies that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is bounded. We will be interested in the case that  $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ . Our main existence result is the following one.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that (V) and (K) hold and let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\sigma < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Moreover, assume that  $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ . Then for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, (1) has a ground state  $v_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , concentrating at some point  $x^* \in \mathcal{Z}$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, there holds*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty > 0.$$

**Remarks 2** (i) In [1] it is proved that the growth restriction  $\sigma < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  is necessary in order to get a ground state.

(ii) If  $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$ , related existence results can be found in [1, 3]. In the former, any  $\varepsilon > 0$  is allowed and  $\sigma < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  is assumed. In the latter, it has been proved that for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  (1) has a solution (possibly not a ground state) for all  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V > 0$ , a result similar to the preceding theorem is contained in [5, Thm. 2.1].

(iv) The fact that  $v_\varepsilon$  concentrates at some point  $x^* \in \mathcal{Z}$  agrees with the results of [1], where it is proved that concentration arises at a global minimum of the auxiliary potential  $\mathcal{A} := V^{\frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{n}{2}} K^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$ . Obviously, in the present case each point in  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a global minimum of  $\mathcal{A}$ , because  $\mathcal{A}$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . But here, in contrast with [1], the ground state does not remain bounded away from zero. Actually, the behavior proved in (3) is just the behavior of solutions found in [5]. ■

As in [5, 6], one can be more precise about the asymptotic profile of the concentrating solutions, provided one makes some further assumption on the behavior of  $V$  near  $\mathcal{Z}$ . However, here we do not consider all the cases discussed in [5] but we shall focus on the one (which is referred as finite case in [5]) where  $V$  has a polynomial decay to zero near a zero point of  $V$ . The other cases studied in [5] could be considered similarly but we will not carry out the details.

Without loss of generality we assume  $V(0) = 0$ .  $P(x)$  is said to be of homogeneous degree  $m > 0$  if  $P(\lambda x) = |\lambda|^m P(x)$ .

The following theorem shows that also the asymptotic profile of the ground states is quite similar to the one established in [5]. Actually one can prove:

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that (V) and (K) hold and let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\sigma < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z} = \{0\}$  and suppose that for some  $m > 0$ ,  $V(x) = P_m(x) + Q(x)$  satisfies  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x|^{-m} Q(x) = 0$ , where  $P_m$  is homogeneous of degree  $m > 0$ . Let  $v_\varepsilon$  be a solution of  $\delta$ , localized near 0, given in Theorem 1. Then for any  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  there is a subsequence (denoted still by  $\varepsilon_n$ ) such that  $\varepsilon_n^{-\frac{2}{p-1} \frac{m}{m+2}} v_{\varepsilon_n}(\varepsilon_n^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x)$  converges uniformly to a ground state solution of*

$$(4) \quad -\Delta w + P_m(x)w = K(0)w^p, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

**Remark 4** The behavior of the solution  $v_\varepsilon$  found above depends on the fact that the concentration point is a zero of  $V$ . If there exists a solution concentrating on a critical point of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $V > 0$ , its behavior would be like a usual spike that one finds in problems where  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} V > 0$ . This has been proved in [4] dealing with the radial problem

$$(5) \quad -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + V(|x|)u = u^p, \quad u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad u > 0,$$

where  $p > 1$  and  $V$  is radial and satisfies (V). If the weighted potential  $M(r) = r^{n-1} V^\ell(r)$ ,  $\ell = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}$ , has a minimum or maximum at some  $r^* > R_0$ , then it is proved that (5) has, for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , a radial solution  $v_\varepsilon$  which concentrates on the sphere of radius  $r^*$ . In such a case,  $v_\varepsilon \sim U(\frac{r-r^*}{\varepsilon})$ , where  $U$  is the positive, radial solution of  $-U'' + U = U^p$  such that  $U'(0) = 0$ . This is related to the fact that  $V(r^*) > 0$ . ■

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 3 are carried out in Section 2 and 3, respectively.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is divided into several steps.

**A. The functional setting.** Let

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u|^2 + V(\varepsilon x)u^2] dx,$$

and let  $E_\varepsilon$  denote the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ . According to the results of [7],  $E_\varepsilon$  is embedded (respectively, compactly embedded) into the weighted Lebesgue space

$$L_K^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{u \in L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon x)|u|^{q+1} dx\},$$

provided  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\sigma \leq q \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , resp.  $\sigma < q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . To be more precise, the results in [7] are proved under the further assumption that  $V(x) > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . These results have been also proved in [1], see in particular Remark 10, and it is easy to check that the arguments carried out in [1] rely only on the behavior of  $V$  and  $K$  for  $|x| \gg 1$ , namely on the assumptions (V) and (K).

In particular, one has that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} dx < +\infty.$$

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we set  $A_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon x \in A\}$  and denote by  $A^\delta$  the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $A$ . For simplicity we denote  $(A^\delta)_\varepsilon$  as  $A_\varepsilon^\delta$ . Fixed  $\delta > 0$  small enough, let us consider the following constrained minimization problem

$$m_\varepsilon = \inf\{\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} dx = 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} dx \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{3(p+1)}{p-1}}\}.$$

Since, as pointed out before, the embedding of  $E_\varepsilon$  into  $L_K^{p+1}$  is compact provided  $\sigma < q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , it follows that  $m_\varepsilon$  is achieved at some  $u_\varepsilon \in E_\varepsilon$ . Hence  $m_\varepsilon > 0$  and there exist  $\lambda_\varepsilon, \mu_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(6) \quad -\Delta u_\varepsilon + V(\varepsilon x)u_\varepsilon = \lambda_\varepsilon K(\varepsilon x)u_\varepsilon^p + \mu_\varepsilon \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x)u_\varepsilon^p, \quad u_\varepsilon > 0.$$

We want to show that  $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and there holds

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p+1} dx < \varepsilon^{\frac{3(p+1)}{p-1}}.$$

If this is the case, then  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_\varepsilon$  is a solution of

$$(8) \quad -\Delta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon + V(\varepsilon x)\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = K(\varepsilon x)\tilde{u}_\varepsilon^p, \quad u_\varepsilon > 0,$$

and  $v_\varepsilon(x) := \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-1}x) = m_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$  solves (1).

**B. Some estimates.** We first show

**Lemma 5** *There holds:  $m_\varepsilon = o(1)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

Since  $a$  can be taken arbitrarily small, the last infimum tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and the lemma follows. ■

Next, we turn our attention to (6). By similar arguments to [5] one finds that  $\mu_\varepsilon \leq 0 \leq \lambda_\varepsilon$ . We claim that there is a constant  $\Lambda$  such that

$$(9) \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_\varepsilon \leq \Lambda.$$

If not, for  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  one has  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\varepsilon_n} = +\infty$ . Take a cut-off function  $\varphi_n$  such that

$$\varphi_n(x) \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^\delta \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta/2}, \end{cases}$$

$0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ ,  $|\nabla \varphi_n(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon_n/\delta$ . Set  $u_n = u_{\varepsilon_n}$  and  $\lambda_n = \lambda_{\varepsilon_n}$ . Multiplying (6) by  $\varphi_n u_n$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta/2}} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^{p+1} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(u_n \varphi_n)| + V(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^2 \varphi_n] dx \\ &\leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\nabla \varphi_n|^2 |u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\inf\{V(x) : x \in \mathcal{Z}^\delta \setminus \mathcal{Z}^{\delta/2}\} > 0$  and  $|\nabla \varphi_n(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon_n/\delta$ , the above inequality implies, for  $n \gg 1$ ,

$$\lambda_n \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta/2}} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^{p+1} dx \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^2] dx = c_2 m_{\varepsilon_n}.$$

Since  $m_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows

$$(10) \quad \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta/2}} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^{p+1} dx \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Choose another cut-off function

$$\psi_n(x) \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta/2}, \text{ or } x \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{5\delta/4} \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^\delta \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{3\delta/4}, \end{cases}$$

such that  $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1$ ,  $|\nabla \psi_n(x)| \leq 4\varepsilon_n/\delta$ . Taking  $n \gg 1$  such that  $\lambda_n \geq 1$ , and using arguments similar to the previous ones, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^{p+1} \psi_n dx &\leq \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^{p+1} \psi_n dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla(u_n \psi_n) + |u_n \psi_n|^2] dx \\ &\leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u_n|^2 |\psi_n|^2 + |u_n|^2 |\nabla \psi_n|^2 + |u_n \psi_n|^2] dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(\varepsilon_n x) u_n^2] dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used again that  $\inf\{V(x) : x \in \mathcal{Z}^{5\delta/4} \setminus \mathcal{Z}^{\delta/2}\} > 0$ . But,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon_n x) \psi_n u_n^{p+1} dx \geq \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{3\delta/4}} K(\varepsilon_n x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx.$$

Using (16) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{\varepsilon_n}^{3\delta/4}} K(\varepsilon_n x) |u_n|^{p+1} dx \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\varepsilon_n x) |\psi_n u_n|^{p+1} dx \geq \text{Const.} > 0,$$

a contradiction. This proves that (9) holds.

### C. Exponential decay.

**Lemma 6** *There exist  $R_1 > 0$ ,  $C = C(p, n) > 0$  and  $d = d(p, \alpha, \beta, n) > 0$ , such that for  $|x| \geq \frac{2R_1 + C}{\varepsilon}$  there holds*

$$(11) \quad |u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C|x|^d \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left| \log \frac{3}{4} \right| \frac{\left( |x|^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} - \left( \frac{R_1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}} \right)}{\varepsilon^{\alpha/2}} \right\}.$$

This is essentially Lemma 22 of [1]. For the reader convenience, let us outline below the proof, referring to [1] for more details. The main two steps in the proof of [1] are the following (i) and (ii) below.

(i) For all  $\delta_1 > 0$  there exists  $\bar{R} > 0$  such that, for all  $R \geq \bar{R}$  and all  $u \in E_\varepsilon$

$$(12) \quad \int_{|x| > \frac{R}{\varepsilon}} K(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p+1}(x) dx \leq \delta_1 \left( \int_{|x| > \frac{R}{\varepsilon}} [|\nabla u(x)|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u^2(x)] dx \right)^{(p+1)/2}.$$

(ii) let  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfy (6) and set  $\Omega_n = \{|x| > n^{2/(2-\alpha)}\}$ . Then for  $n \gg 1$ ,

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega_{n+1}} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \leq \frac{3}{4} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx,$$

As for (i), this is nothing but the counterpart of [1, Prop. 11] in our setting and it is easy to check that in the proof carried out in [1] can be repeated here. Actually the assumption that  $V \geq c > 0$  does not play any role, because in (12) all the integrals are evaluated for  $|x| \gg 1$ , only.

To prove (13) we modify the arguments used in [1, Lemma 17] as follows. Let  $\phi_n(r)$  be a piecewise affine functions such that

$$\phi_n(r) \equiv 0, \quad \forall r \leq n^{2/(2-\alpha)}, \quad \phi_n(r) \equiv 1, \quad \forall r \geq (n+1)^{2/(2-\alpha)}.$$

Using (6), that  $\mu_\varepsilon \leq 0$  and that  $\phi_n \leq 1$  we find

$$\int_{\Omega_n} [\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(u_\varepsilon \phi_n) + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2 \phi_n] dx \leq \lambda_n \int_{\Omega_n} K(\varepsilon_n x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx.$$

By calculation similar to that in [1] and using (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{n+1}} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_n} \phi_n [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \\ &\leq \lambda_n \int_{\Omega_n} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx - \int_{\Omega_n} (\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi_n) dx \\ &\leq \Lambda \int_{\Omega_n} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla \phi_n|^2 u_\varepsilon^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\nabla \phi_n| \sim n^{-\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$ , we infer that  $|\nabla \phi_n|^2 \leq V(\varepsilon x)$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  for  $n \gg 1$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{n+1}} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \\ \leq \Lambda \int_{\Omega_n} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

This and (12) yield, for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{n+1}} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \\ \leq \delta_1 \Lambda \left( \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

One also has

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\ = \left( \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \\ \leq m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find

$$\int_{\Omega_{n+1}} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx \leq \left( \delta_1 \Lambda m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega_n} [|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + V(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^2] dx,$$

proving (13).

Once that (i) – (ii) hold, one can repeat the arguments in [1] proving Lemma 6.

**D. Proof of Theorem 1 completed.** To complete the proof of Theorem 1 it remains to show that  $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that (7) holds.

Fixed  $R_1$  and  $C$  as in the Lemma 6, let  $\rho \geq 2R_1 + C$ . Then (11) implies

$$(14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\rho/\varepsilon}} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \sim c \exp\left(-\frac{c}{\varepsilon^{\alpha/2}}\right), \quad c > 0.$$

Letting  $\Omega_\varepsilon := B_{2\rho/\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^{2\delta}$ , there results  $\inf_{\Omega_\varepsilon} K(\varepsilon x) \geq \text{const.} > 0$ . This and the fact that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{3(p+1)}{p-1}},$$

imply that  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then by elliptic estimates,  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$ . Taking  $\delta$  possibly smaller, we can assume that  $\mathcal{Z}^{2\delta} \subset B_{2\rho}$  and hence there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\inf_{\Omega_\varepsilon} V(\varepsilon x) \geq 2\gamma$ . For  $\varepsilon$  small, we have

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \left( \sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon(x)] \right)^{p-1} \leq \gamma, \quad \sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1.$$

Then there holds

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_\varepsilon + [V(\varepsilon x) - \gamma] u_\varepsilon \leq 0, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ u_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^{2\delta}, \\ u_\varepsilon(x) \leq c \exp(-c \varepsilon^{-\alpha/2}) & \text{in } \partial B_{2\rho/\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  denote the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Psi_\varepsilon + \gamma \Psi_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \Psi_\varepsilon(x) = 1 & \text{on } \partial \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^{2\delta}, \\ \Psi_\varepsilon(x) = c \exp(-c \varepsilon^{-\alpha/2}) & \text{in } \partial B_{2\rho/\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Then by the comparison principle,

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \Psi_\varepsilon(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  decays exponentially to zero at infinity, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall x \in B_{\rho/\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^{4\delta}.$$

Hence  $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, the preceding inequality and (14) yield, for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \leq C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{C_1}{\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon^{\frac{3(p+1)}{p-1}},$$

proving (7).

Then by the comparison principle,

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \Psi_\varepsilon(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\Psi_\varepsilon$  decays exponentially to zero at infinity, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{C}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall x \in B_{\rho/\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^{4\delta}.$$

Hence  $u_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, the preceding inequality and (14) yield, for  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon^\delta} K(\varepsilon x) u_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx \leq C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{C_1}{\varepsilon}\right) < \varepsilon^{\frac{3(p+1)}{p-1}},$$

proving (7).

Now define  $v_\varepsilon(x) = m_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ . Then  $v_\varepsilon$  solves equation (1). By Lemma 5 we have  $\|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Finally we show  $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty > 0$ . Set  $\widehat{v}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} v_\varepsilon$ , and note that  $\widehat{v}_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$(15) \quad -\Delta \widehat{v}_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} V(x) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon = K(x) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^p.$$

Choosing a cut-off function  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\phi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B_R(0)$  such that  $R > \max\{R_0, 2R_1 + C\}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^{4\delta} \subset B_R(0)$ , where  $R_0$  is from (V) and  $2R_1 + C$  is from Lemma 6 so that (11) holds. We have

$$(16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{p+1} \leq k_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{p-1} (\phi^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2 + (1-\phi)^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2).$$

There holds, for some  $C > 0$ ,

$$(17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{p-1} \phi^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2 \leq C \|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\|_\infty^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\phi \widehat{v}_\varepsilon)|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \widehat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} V \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2.$$

Furthermore, choosing  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p-1-\delta > 0$  we use (V) to infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{p-1} (1-\phi)^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2 &\leq \|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\|_\infty^{p-1-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^\delta (1-\phi)^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2 \\ &\leq k_1^{-1} \|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\|_\infty^{p-1-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|^\alpha) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^\delta V(x) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6, we find that  $(1+|x|^\alpha) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^\delta \leq 1$ , provided  $|x| > R$  and  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. From this and the previous equation we get

$$(18) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^{p-1} (1-\phi)^2 \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2 \leq k_1^{-1} \|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\|_\infty^{p-1-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x) \widehat{v}_\varepsilon^2.$$

Using (16 - 17 - 18) and the equation (15) we infer that  $\|\widehat{v}_\varepsilon\|_\infty \geq C > 0$  and thus  $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} \|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1

**Remark 7** We may also consider localized solutions concentrating near an isolated subset  $A$  of the set of zeros of  $V$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$ . I.e., we require  $d(A, \mathcal{Z} \setminus A) > 0$ . This was done in [6] for the case  $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V$  is positive. Slightly refined arguments above give results of this type. We refer [6] for details. ■

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

In this section we will give the proof of Theorem 3. By [6], equation has a least energy solution  $v_\varepsilon$  which has an exponential decay at infinity.

By a scaling depending on  $m$ , we define

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{p-1} \frac{m}{m+2}} v_\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x\right)$$

Then  $w_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$-\Delta w_\varepsilon(x) + (P_m(x) + \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} Q(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x)) w_\varepsilon = K(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x) w_\varepsilon^p.$$

By Lemma 6 and the construction of the solution we have that for each  $\delta_1 > 0$  there exist  $C, c > 0$  such that for  $|\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x| \geq \delta_1$ ,

$$(19) \quad w_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{p-1} \frac{m}{m+2}} \exp(-c \varepsilon^{-\frac{m}{m+2}} |x|).$$

By using (V), we first claim that for  $|x| \geq \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{m+2}} R_0$ ,

$$(20) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} V(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}}) \geq \frac{k_1}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

By the property of  $Q$  there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for  $|\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x| \leq \delta_2$ ,  $P_m(x) + \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} Q(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x) \geq \frac{1}{2} P_m(x)$ . Thus there is  $R_2 > 0$  such that for  $|x| \geq R_2$

$$(21) \quad \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} V(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}}) \geq \frac{k_1}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Since a ground state solution  $w$  to (4) is exponentially decay at infinity, we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2 + (P_m(x) + \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} Q(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x)) w_\varepsilon^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w|^2 + P_m(x) w^2.$$

From these and the elliptic estimates we get that the  $L^\infty$ -norm of  $w_\varepsilon$  is uniformly bounded for  $\varepsilon$  small. By the fact  $P_m(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and elliptic estimate again,  $w_\varepsilon$  tends to zero as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $\varepsilon$  small. Then by this and (19) we have  $R_3 \geq R_2$  such that for  $|x| \geq R_3$ ,

$$K(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x) w_\varepsilon^{p-1}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_1}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Thus for  $|x| \geq R_3$ ,  $\Delta w_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_1}{|x|^\alpha} w_\varepsilon \geq 0$ . By Lemma 6 of [3] and comparison principle, we get for some constant  $C, c > 0$

$$w_\varepsilon(x) \leq C \exp(-c|x|^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}})$$

Next we show  $\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|w_\varepsilon\|_\infty > 0$ . If not, using the above estimate and similar to the end of the last section, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^{-\frac{2m}{m+2}} V(\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{m+2}} x) w_\varepsilon^2 \leq C \exp(-c \varepsilon^{\frac{(2-\alpha)(p+1)}{m+2}})$$

## References

- [1] Ambrosetti, A., Felli, V., Malchiodi, A.: Ground states of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Potentials Vanishing at Infinity, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 7 (2005), 117-144.
- [2] Ambrosetti, A., Malchiodi, A.: *Perturbation methods and semilinear elliptic problems on  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Progress in Math., Birkhäuser, to appear.
- [3] Ambrosetti, A., Malchiodi, A., Ruiz, D.: Bound states of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Potentials Vanishing at Infinity, *J. d'Analyse Math.*, to appear.
- [4] Ambrosetti, A., Ruiz, D.: Radial solutions concentrating on spheres of NLS with vanishing potentials, to appear.
- [5] Byeon, J., Wang, Z.-Q. : Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 165 (2002), 295-316.
- [6] Byeon, J., Wang, Z.-Q. : Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, II, *Cal. Var. P.D.E.* 18-2 (2003), 207 - 219.
- [7] Opic, B., Kufner, A.: *Hardy-type inequalities*, Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Series, 219, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990.